Imperial College NIHR Imperial Biomedical London

ASSURING AUTONOMY

Evolution des Métiers de la Réanimation: Apport de l'Intelligence Artificielle



Matthieu Komorowski MD PhD

Clinical Senior Lecturer, Imperial College London Consultant, ICU, Charing Cross Hospital, London Visiting scientist, Laboratory of Computational Physiology, MIT

m.komorowski14@imperial.ac.uk ; Twitter: @matkomorowski

Conflicts of interest

- Speaking honoraria from GE Healthcare
- Consulting fees from Philips Healthcare

The views expressed are my own.

Personalised Medicine in the ICU with AI



The hype

Imagine if AI could help us...

Predict admission

- Predict outcomes
- Identify phenotypes for clinical trial enrolment

In sepsis:

- Predict sepsis
- Predict antibiotic resistance
- Discover novel antibiotics



Haemodynamic support:

- Predict shock
- Predict hypotension
- Suggest fluids and/or vasopressor dosing

Organ support:

- Predict organ failure
- Sedation / ventilation strategy
- Optimise drug dosing

Machine learning = « learning from data»





Predicting A&E admission

- 4.6 M patients from 389 GP practices from UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink
- **Covariates:** demographics, lifestyle factors, vital signs, laboratory tests, medications, comorbidities, previous emergency admissions
- AUC 0.848 for emergency admission within 24 months



[Rahimian, PLOS Med 2018]

[Rajkomar npj Digital Med 2018]

Predicting Outcomes

Encounters	00 0	OD	00	00	0.0	0	0 0	00	a) di	0	000	000	0.0 0.0	0	0 00	00			
Labs & Flowsheets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		C	0	3	0 0	000	00	0 001	0	1. I		
Orders		0		0	0				ol.	0		00 0	00000	0		0			
Procedures	0	0		0	0		3		0.5	0		0.0	De la			0 0		1	
Diagnoses	0	0		0	0				0	0	0	P				0	_	1	
Notes	0	0	0	0	9				_	O I	0					0			
Medication										-01			0.0 000			0	8		
Ye	Month 1	Month 2		Month 3	2	Ionth 4	Month	\$	Montris	64	oth 7	Month II	Month 9		Wonth 10	Month	h11	North 12	
	/	~				t	Admitted o hospita	al								At pre mo Pa	24 hours edicted ris ortality: 19 itient dies	after admis k of inpatie .9%. 10 days lat	sio nt er.
Encounters	0	_		0	1			0			1			-		_			
Labs & Flowsheets	0	0	0	0 0	0000	ത്തറർ	000	00	0	0 0	000	0 0	Ö	0	ന്നന്ന മ		m 000		
Orders	0 0		0		0 00	0 00	0	00	00			00	0 00 0		0 0	0.0	0		
Procedures					0.00											-	-		
Diagnoses	i			0															
Notes	-						0	0 00	0 0	0 000	0	•					• •		
Medication	0				09	0 0	0 0	0 0			0 0				0 0	000 0	0		
D	04:00 ay 1	08	:00		12:00		16:00		20:00		00:00 Day 2		04:00	08:00		12:00		16:00	
				4-H0	URS BEFORE A	DMISSION	HOURS AFTER	ADMISSION	÷ -									>	
Same and the second						00:00) hrs										+24	00 hrs	
-11:42 hours								+3	33 hours								200		
Penfilgrastim					-2:42	hours		DL	veician M	ale		+7:38 h	ours				+22:47 hou	s	
cynyrastin					Modi	ication		Ph	ysician N	ove		Radiolo	av Report - CT C	HESTAR	OMEN PEL	VIS	Pulmonary	Consult Note	
					medi	source in		-	PMH of n	netastatic	breast	- Caronono	Witebour- of o	HEOT AD			, annonary	ounder Hou	
					Vand	comycin, ronidazol	e	ca eff wh	ncer, R lu usion, and o present	ung maligr i R lung en s with incre	nant npyema ased	Redem	DINGS : CHEST L onstration of a m on. interval rem	UNGS AN noderate I noval of a	D PLEURA: eft pleural right chest		"_ has a co space tha CT scan sh	t requires IR (uida
.94				-				dra	inage from	11		which a	contains foci of a	ir [] IMAG	DESSION-1		to date	and sion on F	con
				-3:23 h	ours			R	ung preu	rx tract "		Interva	Innonaccion of a	ticasca in	the chest a	nd	to date		
	070			Nursing	Flowshee	et						abdom	en including incl	reased m	ediastinal				
ion 0.75	-() / h											lymph	adenopathy pl	eural/na	renchuma				
ion 0.75	-0.76			NUR R	S BRADE	N								the second se					
ion 0.75 85–0.86	-0.76			NUR R SCALE	S BRADE	N 22						disease	e within the right	lung, prob	able new	e			
ion 0.75 85–0.86	-0.76			NUR R SCALE	S BRADE	N 22						disease hepatic	e within the right metastases and he thorax I. I"	lung, prob I subcutar	able new neous nodul	e			

AUROC for:

- In-hospital mortality 0.93–0.94
- 30-day unplanned readmission 0.75–0.76
- Prolonged length of stay: 0.85–0.86
- Discharge diagnoses 0.90

nature

A clinically applicable approach to continuous prediction of future acute kidney injury

Nenad Tomašev¹*, Xavier Glorot¹, Jack W. Rae^{1,2}, Michal Zielinski¹, Harry Askham¹, Andre Saraiva¹, Anne Mottram¹, Clemens Meyer¹, Suman Ravuri¹, Ivan Protsyuk¹, Alistair Connell¹, Cían O. Hughes¹, Alan Karthikesalingam¹, Julien Cornebise^{1,12}, Hugh Montgomery³, Geraint Rees⁴, Chris Laing⁵, Clifton R. Baker⁶, Kelly Peterson^{7,8}, Ruth Reeves⁹, Demis Hassabis¹, Dominic King¹, Mustafa Suleyman¹, Trevor Back^{1,13}, Christopher Nielson^{10,11,13}, Joseph R. Ledsam^{1,13*} & Shakir Mohamed^{1,13}



- 1000+ sites
- 13.4% KDIGO AKI+
- AUC = 0.92
- 55.8% of AKI detected
- FP rate 2:1

а AKI occurs 48 hours after the ---- AKI 250 Creatinine (µmol I⁻¹) model first generated a positive 206 prediction 187 200 147 137 150 100 50 2 6 8 0 b Patient risk of AKI within 48 hours 1.0 Prediction above the risk 0.8 threshold indicate a 48 hours 0.6 positive prediction of AKI Model predicts AKI within 48 hours 0.4 within 48 hours 0.2 2 6 0 8 Time since admission (days)

(2019)

An Interpretable Machine Learning Model for Accurate Prediction of Sepsis in the ICU

Shamim Nemati, PhD¹; Andre Holder, MD, MSc²; Fereshteh Razmi, MS¹; Matthew D. Stanley, MD³; Gari D. Clifford, PhD^{1,4}; Timothy G. Buchman, PhD, MD^{3,5}



[Oct 2021]





Classifiers/drug	Precision	Precision	Precision	Precision
	CIP	CTX	CTZ	GEN
CNN	0.88 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.04	0.81 ± 0.02	0.76 ± 0.03
LR	0.88 ± 0.05	0.71 ± 0.04	0.81 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.02
RF	0.92 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.03	0.79 ± 0.02
SVM	0.85 ± 0.03	0.69 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.03	0.75 ± 0.02

JAMA | Original Investigation | CARING FOR THE CRITICALLY ILL PATIENT

Derivation, Validation, and Potential Treatment Implications of Novel Clinical Phenotypes for Sepsis

Christopher W. Seymour, MD, MSc; Jason N. Kennedy, MS; Shu Wang, MS; Chung-Chou H. Chang, PhD; Corrine F. Elliott, MS; Zhongying Xu, MS; Scott Berry, PhD; Gilles Clermont, MD, MSc; Gregory Cooper, MD, PhD; Hernando Gomez, MD, MPH; David T. Huang, MD, MPH; John A. Kellum, MD, FACP, MCCM; Qi Mi, PhD; Steven M. Opal, MD; Victor Talisa, MS; Tom van der Poll, MD, PhD; Shyam Visweswaran, MD, PhD; Yoram Vodovotz, PhD; Jeremy C. Weiss, MD, PhD; Donald M. Yealy, MD, FACEP; Sachin Yende, MD, MS; Derek C. Angus, MD, MPH



[Aug 2019]

British Journal of Anaesthesia, 123 (1): 14–16 (2019) doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2019.03.043 Advance Access Publication Date: 7 May 2019 © 2019 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Informing future intensive care trials with machine learning

Matthieu Komorowski^{1,2,*} and Malcolm Lemyze³

¹Department of Surgery and Cancer, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK, ²Intensive Care Unit, Charing Cross Hospital, London, UK and ³Intensive Care Unit, Arras Hospital, Arras, France



[Adapted with permission from Iwashyna AJRCCM 2015]

Reinforcement learning



[Sutton & Barto 2020, Liu JMIR 2020]

Reinforcement Learning Applications in ICU

[Borera et al, 2011; Padmanabhan et al, 2014; Padmanabhan et al, 2017; Padmanabhan et al, 2019;]	Propofol		
[Ghassemi et al, 2018; Lin et al, 2018, Nemati et al, 2016]	Intravenous heparin		
[Komorowski et al, 2018; Raghu et al, 2018; Raghu et al, 2017; Futoma et al, 2018; Peng et al, 2018; Raghu et al, 2017; Lee et al, 2019; Petersen et al, 2019]	Intravenous fluids, vasopressors, and cytokines	Optimal dosing of medication	
[Lopez-Martinez et al, 2019]	Morphine	/	
[Prasad et al, 2017, Yu et al, 2019]	Weaning off mechanical ventilation		Deinforcement
[Cheng et al, 2019]	Timing to order laboratory tests	Optimal timing of interventions	learning in critical
[Wang et al, 2018]	Combination of medication category	Optimal choice of medication	
[Weng et al, 2017]	Serum glycemic level	Optimal individual target lab value	

[Liu JMIR 2020]

npj | Digital Medicine

ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Development and validation of a reinforcement learning algorithm to dynamically optimize mechanical ventilation in critical care

Arne Peine^{1,10}, Ahmed Hallawa ^{1,2,10}, Johannes Bickenbach¹, Guido Dartmann³, Lejla Begic Fazlic³, Anke Schmeink ⁴, Gerd Ascheid ², Christoph Thiemermann⁵, Andreas Schuppert⁶, Ryan Kindle^{7,8}, Leo Celi ^{7,8,9}, Gernot Marx¹ and Lukas Martin ¹





Independent replication



Yan Jia Department of computer science University of York York, UK yj914@york.ac.uk

Ibrahim Habli Department of computer science University of York York, UK ibrahim.habli@york.ac.uk John Burden Department of computer science University of York York, UK ijb531@vork.ac.uk

Bradford Royal Infirmary and Bradford Institute for Health Research Bradford, UK tom.lawton@bthft.nhs.uk

2020 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI) icrosoft Microsoft Research 🧭 @MSFTResearch

Sept 2021

Announcing a GitHub repo which generates a data cohort for reinforcement learning research on Sepsis. The cohort is produced from the publicly available hospital database, MIMIC III.

¥ 12

Access the improved repo: aka.ms/AAb2dv0 @mefatemi @MarzyehGhassemi @tw_killian

microsoft/ **mimic_sepsis**

Sepsis cohort from MIMIC dataset

R1 3

⊙ 0 ☆ 32

HIS 2022



...

Learning Optimal Treatment Strategies for Sepsis Using Offline Reinforcement Learning in Continuous Space

Zeyu Wang^{1(\boxtimes)}, Huiying Zhao^{2(\boxtimes)}, Peng Ren³, Yuxi Zhou³, and Ming Sheng³

 ¹ Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China wangzeyu@bit.edu.cn
 ² Peking University People's Hosipital, Beijing 100044, China zhaohuiying109@sina.com
 ³ BNRist, DCST, RIIT, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China {renpeng,yuxi,shengming}@tsinghua.edu.cn

Derived models PLOS DIGITAL HEALTH Feb 2022 Learning to Treat Hypotensive Episodes in Sepsis **RESEARCH ARTICLE** Unifying cardiovascular modelling with deep Return to Blog Home nforcement learning for uncertainty aware Microsoft Research Blog tral of concic traatment Ru digital medicine www.nature.com/npjdigitalmed er James Langmead³, Check for updates ARTICLE OPEN An interpretable RL framework for pre-deployment modeling Ma et al. C https://do in ICU hypotension management RESEARCH 14 April 2022 RESEAR Kristine Zhang¹, Henry Wang¹, Jianzhun Du¹, Brian Chu¹, Aldo Robles Arévalo ², Ryan Kindle³, Leo Anthony Celi^{4 M} and Finale Doshi-Velez ¹[∞] Indivi Joseph Futoma, PhD^{1,2}, Muhammad Masood, PhD¹, Finale Doshi-Velez, PhD¹ for septic shock formal on of ¹ Harvard University, Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Cambridge, MA modeling and dynamic ² Duke University, Dept. of Statistical Science, Durham, NC Penglin Ma^{1†}, Jingtao Liu^{2†}, Feng Shen³, Xuelian Lia Peng Wang⁹, Man Huang¹⁰, Tong Li¹¹, Meili Duan¹², Xianyao Wan¹⁷, ZongYu Wang¹⁸, Shusheng Li¹⁹, Jianwei Han²⁰, Zhenliang Li²¹, Guolei Ding²², Qun Deng²³, Based on Reinforcement Learning Jicheng Zhang²⁴, Yue Zhu²⁵, Wenjing Ma²⁶, Jingwen Wang²⁷, Yan Kang²⁸ and Zhongheng Zhang²⁹ Longxiang Su^{1†}, Yansheng Li^{2†}, Shengjun Liu^{1†}, Sigi Zhang², Xiang Zhou¹, Li Weng³, Mingliang Su², Bin Du^{3*}, Weiguo Zhu^{4*} and Yun Long^{1*}

Imagine if AI could help us...

Predict admission

- Predict outcomes
- Identify phenotypes for clinical trial enrolment

In sepsis:

- Predict sepsis
- Predict bacteraemia
- Predict antibiotic resistance
- Discover novel antibiotics



Haemodynamic support:

- Predict shock
- Predict hypotension
- Suggest fluids and/or vasopressor dosing

Organ support:

- Predict organ failure
- Sedation / ventilation strategy
- Optimise drug dosing



The Reality

Level of readiness of AI Applications in the ICU



	Google Scholar	early prediction of sepsis	
•	Articles	About 226,000 results (0.06 sec)	
	Any time Since 2020 Since 2019 Since 2016 Custom range	Early prediction of sepsis from clinical data: the PhysioNet/Computing in Cardiology Challenge 2019MA Reyna, C Josef, S Seyedi, R Jeter 2019 Computing in, 2019 - ieeexplore.ieee.orgThe PhysioNet/Computing in Cardiology Challenge focused on the early detection of sepsis from clinical data. A total of 40,336 patient records from two distinct hospital systems were shared with participants while 22,761 patient records from three distinct hospital systems☆ ワワ Cited by 83 Related articlesAll 14 versions	[НТМL] nih.gov
	Sort by relevance Sort by date	Early prediction of sepsis-induced disseminated intravascular coagulation with interleukin-10, interleukin-6, and RANTES in preterm infants	[HTML] oup.com

S NCBI Resources) How To 🕑		Sign in to NCBI
US National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health	PMC "sepsis" AND ("prediction" OR "detection") Create alert Journal List Advanced	Search Search	Help
0	COVID-19 is an emerging, rapidly evolving situation. Get the latest public health information from CDC: <u>https://www.coronavirus.gov</u> . Get the latest research from NIH: <u>https://www.nih.gov/coronavirus</u> . Find NCBI SARS-CoV-2 literature, sequence, and clinical content: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sars-cov-2/</u> .		
Article attributes Associated Data	Display Settings:	Filter your results: All (89619)	
Digitized back issues MEDLINE journals	Search results Items: 1 to 20 of 89619 <<< First < Prev Page 1 of 4481 Next > Last >>	<u>NIH grants (21713)</u> Embargoed (0)	
Open access Preprints Retracted	Filters activated: Publication date from 1980/01/01 to 2021/12/31. Clear all to show 91242 items.		<u>Manage Filters</u>

Intensive Care Med (2020) 46:383-400 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05872-y

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Machine learning for the prediction of sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy

Lucas M. Fleuren^{1,2*}, Thomas L. T. Klausch³, Charlotte L. Zwager¹, Linda J. Schoonmade⁴, Tingjie Guo¹, Luca F. Roggeveen^{1,2}, Eleonora L. Swart⁵, Armand R. J. Girbes¹, Patrick Thoral¹, Ari Ercole^{6,7}, Mark Hoogendoorn² and Paul W. G. Elbers^{1,7}

N=150 Models N=28 Papers





[Fleuren ICM 2020]

Critical care

6 Effect of a machine learning-based **BMJ Open** Respiratory severe sepsis prediction algorithm on Research patient survival and hospital length of stay: a randomised clinical trial 2017 David W Shimabukuro,¹ Christopher W Barton,² Mitchell D Feldman,³ Samson J Mataraso,^{4,5} Ritankar Das⁶

Table 2 Differences in hospital LOS, ICU LOS, and in-hospital mortality between the experimental and control groups					
Outcome	Control (n=75)	Experimental (n=67)	Amount of reduction	P value	
Hospital LOS (days)	13.0 (1.23)	10.3 (0.912)	2.30 days	0.042	
ICU LOS (days)	8.40 (0.881)	6.31 (0.666)	2.09 days	0.030	
In-hospital mortality rate	21.3% (4.76%)	8.96% (3.51%)	12.3%	0.018	

The mean and the standard error (in parentheses) for each outcome are noted in the table. All outcomes demonstrate statistically significant reductions when using the machine learning algorithm (p<0.05).

ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of stay.

medicine

Prospective, multi-site study of patient outcomes after implementation of the TREWS machine learning-based early warning system for sepsis

Roy Adams^{1,2}, Katharine E. Henry^{2,3}, Anirudh Sridharan⁴, Hossein Soleimani⁵, Andong Zhan^{2,3}, Nishi Rawat⁶, Lauren Johnson⁷, David N. Hager⁸, Sara E. Cosgrove⁸, Andrew Markowski⁹, Eili Y. Klein¹⁰, Edward S. Chen⁸, Mustapha O. Saheed¹⁰, Maureen Henley⁷, Sheila Miranda¹¹, Katrina Houston⁷, Robert C. Linton⁴, Anushree R. Ahluwalia⁷, Albert W. Wu^{6,8,12,13,14} and Suchi Saria¹⁰,^{3,8,12,15} (July 2022)



	Study (N=4220)	Control (N=2657)	Adju	isted Risk Difference	P value
In-hosp mortality (N,%)	617 (14.6%)	509 (19.2%)	-3.34% (-	5.10, –1.67%)	<0.001
SOFA progr. at 72 h	-0.8 ± 2.7	-0.4 ± 2.9	-0.26 (-0.4	42, –0.11)	0.001
Med. length of stay (h)	156 (99–260)	190 (118–323)	-11.58 (-1	8.13, -5.03)	0.001

Only product available?



InSight[®] is an algorithm that autonomously forecasts sepsis onset using only vital sign data.

Improving patient care

InSight® can detect sepsis hours before onset. Using InSight can reduce **mortality by 40%** and decrease **hospital length of stay by 30%**.

Easy implementation

InSight® is EHR agnostic and has integrated with all major EHR systems, making implementation a breeze with little to no effort from hospital IT.

Clinically validated

<

InSight's results and utility have been verified in both a randomized **controlled trial** and a real-world post-marketing study of **75,000+ patients**.

Streamlined workflow

InSight[®] runs in the background with no additional effort from the clinician. The algorithm uses **readily-available vitals** and directly alerts clinicians of sepsis cases.

>

Development & deployment of Al tools into clinical practice



[Mamdani, ICME 2021]

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)



[Davies 1989; Holden J Biomed Inf 2010]

RESEARCH

Electronic health record alerts for acute kidney injury: multicenter, randomized clinical trial

F Perry Wilson,^{1,2} Melissa Martin,^{1,2} Yu Yamamoto,^{1,2} Caitlin Partridge,³ Erica Moreira,³ Tanima Arora,^{1,2} Aditya Biswas,^{1,2} Harold Feldman,⁴ Amit X Garg,⁵ Jason H Greenberg,^{2,6} Monique Hinchcliff,⁷ Stephen Latham ⁸ Fan Li⁹ Haigun Lin¹⁰ Sherry G Mansour^{1,2}

Dennis G Moledina,^{1,2} P. Jeffrey Testani,¹³ Ugochi

KI AIEIT:		38 nationts excluded
our patient has been identified as having acute kidney injury. over the last seven days are listed below:	Relevant creatinine values	using incorrect randomization (N=123) rt was after discharge (N=38)
Nost recent: 0.93 mg/dl		ent's first encounter (N=1006) ed before alerts were active (N=170)
owest in past 7 days: 0.5 mg/dl		omized to both arms (N=1)
lighest in past 7 days: 0.93 mg/dl		and randomized (N=6030)
HIS ALERT DOES NOT FIRE FOR ALL PATIENTS. This patient is part of a randomized www.akistudy.org. For AKI best practices, click here: www.akistudy.org/aki-best-practices	I trial. For more information click here:	
Open Order Set Do Not Open AKI ORDER SET preview		Randomized to control group (N=2971)
Add Problem Do Not Add Acute kidney injury > Edit details (F	lospital problem, Share with patient)	
Advanueladas Poscan		Lost to follow up (N=0)
Acknowledge Reason		1

(N=3059)

thebmj

(N=2971)

Patients with AKI assessed for eligibility (N=7368)





Fig 2 | Primary and secondary outcome events, stratified by hospital type. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals of the observed proportion of events. AKI=acute kidney injury



thebmj

 "We are left without a satisfying unifying explanation for the potential harm."

[Wilson BMJ 2021]

Machine learning is only a (small) piece of the puzzle



Imperial College London

Safety Evaluation





- December 2019 October 2022
- Co-PI: Prof Ibrahim Habli, Univ of York



Fig. 1. Partition of system states in catastrophic, warning and safe states.

Open accessOriginal researchBMJ Health &
Care InformaticsAssuring the safety of AI-based clinical
decision support systems: a case study
of the AI Clinician for sepsis treatment2022Paul Festor (), 1,2 Yan Jia (), 3,4 Anthony C Gordon (), 5 A Aldo Faisal (), 2,6
Ibrahim Habli (), 3,7 Matthieu Komorowski () 1,5



- We defined 4 unsafe decisions, e.g. hypotension left untreated, fluid overload + more fluids, etc.
- Composite penalty on 90-d mortality + unsafe decisions



Al Clinician safety evaluation

Imperial College London



- Simulated ICU ward round of 6 patients with sepsis
- With Al Decision Support System
- 1/3 of AI suggestions voluntarily unsafe
- Eye tracking
- (1) Subject
- (2) Bedside monitor
- (3) Patient mannequin
- (4) ICU bedside information chart
- (5) Bedside nurse
- (6) Al screen.



[Credit: Myura Nagendran, Paul Festor]



Imperial College London

Early evaluation of the AI Clinician system for sepsis treatment

In 4 ICUs:

UCLH

-

_

Imperial x3

University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust



Imperial College Healthcare

- XP1 (Oct-Dec 2022)
- "Shadow mode"
- Observational



- XP2 (Jan-June 2023)
- Pilot study at the bedside
- Observational



Level of readiness of AI Applications in the ICU





Thank you



m.komorowski14@imperial.ac.uk @matkomorowski





"Pixel to Action" Reinforcement Learning



[Mnih Nature 2015]

Laï et al. J Transl Med (2020) 18:14 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-019-02204-y

RESEARCH

Open Access



M.-C. Laï^{1*}, M. Brian² and M.-F. Mamzer¹

Abstract

Background: Artificial intelligence (AI), with its seemingly limitless power, holds the promise to truly revolutionize patient healthcare. However, the discourse carried out in public does not always correlate with the actual impact. Thus, we aimed to obtain both an overview of how French health professionals perceive the arrival of AI in daily practice and the perception of the other actors involved in AI to have an overall understanding of this issue.

Methods: Forty French stakeholders with diverse backgrounds were interviewed in Paris between October 2017 and June 2018 and their contributions analyzed using the grounded theory method (GTM).

Results: The interviews showed that the various actors involved all see AI as a myth to be debunked. However, their views differed. French healthcare professionals, who are strategically placed in the adoption of AI tools, were focused on providing the best and safest care for their patients. Contrary to popular belief, they are not always seeing the use of these tools in their practice. For healthcare industrial partners, AI is a true breakthrough but legal difficulties to access individual health data could hamper its development. Institutional players are aware that they will have to play a significant role concerning the regulation of the use of these tools. From an external point of view, individuals without a conflict of interest have significant concerns about the sustainability of the balance between health, social justice, and freedom. Health researchers specialized in AI have a more pragmatic point of view and hope for a better transition from research to practice.

Conclusion: Although some hyperbole has taken over the discourse on Al in healthcare, diverse opinions and points of view have emerged among French stakeholders. The development of Al tools in healthcare will be satisfactory for everyone only by initiating a collaborative effort between all those involved. It is thus time to also consider the opinion of patients and, together, address the remaining questions, such as that of responsibility.