GESTION DE LA TEMPERATURE APRES ARRET CARDIAQUE

Nicolas DEYE Réanimation Médicale Inserm U942 Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris nicolas.deye@lrb.aphp.fr



Historique Pro TTM2 Pro TH Conclusions « thermiques » en 2021 COI: lecture and travel fees for Bard / Zoll

GESTION DE LA TEMPERATURE APRES ARRET CARDIAQUE





Historique Pro TTM2 Pro TH Conclusions « thermiques » en 2021

Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History



Hypothermie efficace sur l'ensemble des lésions anoxo-ischémiques



Cascade biochimique des lésions d'ischémie / reperfusion

Meta-analysis of targeted temperature management in animal models of cardiac arrest Olai H, et al. ICM Exp. 2020

N=17809 (after exclusion of duplicates) with 181 studies describing neurobehavioral outcome: 1787, brain histology: 6495, or mortality: 2945 animals

TTM was favoured vs. control for all outcomes. TTM = beneficial using short and prolonged cooling, deep and moderate temperature reduction, early and delayed time to treatment.

Median (IQR) study quality = 4 (3-6); 18 studies: 7-8 quality items. No clear correlation between study quality and efficacy for all outcomes.

Conclusions: TTM is beneficial under most experimental conditions in animal models of cardiac arrest or global brain ischemia. However, research on gyrencephalic species and especially comorbid animals is uncommon and a possible translational gap. Also,

TTTM after CA. A review of animal studies. Arrich J, et al. Resuscitation. 2021 (in CA animals, consistent favourable effect of post-resuscitation TTM vs. normothermia on neurologic outcome increasing with lower temp)



Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History



HT vs. control = normothermia or hyperthermia? Limits of the 2 main RCTs in 2002 NEJM 2002

Bias. Patients in the control group slightly hyperthermic (37-38°C during the first 48 hours). No WLST guidelines.



Controversial metanalysis (NNT/NNH): Nielsen IJC 2010

Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History



ORIGINAL ARTICLE Nielsen et al, NEJM 2013 **Targeted Temperature Management** NS superiority large RCT (469 vs 464 pts) at 33°C versus 36°C after Cardiac Arrest 1.0-**36°** 39---- 36°C group ---- 33°C group 0.8-38-37 36 Probability of Survival 3ody Temperature (°C) 0.6-35-36°C group 34-33°C group 33 0.4-32-



Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History



In-hospital low TTM effect = slight TTM > no TTM



 Maintain a constant, target temperature between 32 °C and 36 °C for those patients in whom temperature control is used (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence). Guidelines: 2015 changes Changes in temperature management and outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in United Kingdom intensive care units following publication of the targeted temperature management trial





N=1.181.405 admissions in 235 ICUs. Lowest temp. during the first 24h = lower in the preTTM1 era. PostTTM cohort = more temp. >38°C (25 vs. 15%)

Highest unadjusted in-hospital mortality (63.7 vs. 61.6%) Multivariate: step change in death, change in slope = NS



Similar results in Australia/ New Zealand (Bray/Salter) Sweden (Abazi), USA (Bradley), and in France (Lascarroy, CEMS)



Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History



Targeted Temperature Management for Cardiac Arrest with Nonshockable Rhythm

Lascarrou et al, NEJM, 2019



No. at Risk

 Hypothermia group
 253
 256
 267
 264
 263
 256
 251
 254
 248
 246
 244
 240
 243
 236
 224
 224
 214
 218
 211
 205
 205
 201
 205
 181
 194
 190
 184

 Normothermia group
 271
 274
 269
 273
 273
 268
 265
 256
 250
 256
 252
 249
 242
 241
 231
 231
 230
 227
 215
 216
 209
 203
 186
 194
 185
 185
 185



On D90, CPC 1-2: TH: 29/284 (10.2%) vs NT: 17/297 (5.7%); Diff = 4.5% (CI 0.1-8.9); P=0.04 Mortality: NS (81.3 vs 83.2%) Subgroups: NS



Part 3: Adult Basic and Advanced Life Support



2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care

Recommendations for Indications for TTM			Recommendations for Performance of TTM			
COR	LOF	Becommendations	COR	LOE	Recommendations	
1	B-R	 We recommend TTM for adults who do not follow commands after ROSC from 	1	B-R	 We recommend selecting and maintaining a constant temperature between 32°C and 36°C during TTM. 	
		OHCA with any initial rhythm. 2. We recommend TTM for adults who do	2a	B-NR	 It is reasonable that TTM be maintained for at least 24 h after achieving target temperature. 	
1	B-R	not follow commands after ROSC from IHCA with initial nonshockable rhythm.	2b	C-LD	3. It may be reasonable to actively prevent fever in comatose patients after TTM.	
1	B-NR	 We recommend TTM for adults who do not follow commands after ROSC from IHCA with initial shockable rhythm. 	3: No Benefit	A	 We do not recommend the routine use of rapid infusion of cold IV fluids for prehospital cooling of patients after ROSC. 	

ILCOR et ERC/ESICM :

- Contrôle thermique recommandé pour tous les rythmes d'AC adultes +++
- Cibler une température entre 32 et 36°C au moins 24h
- Eviter la fièvre > 37.7°C pdt 72h (si coma)



CONFERENCE REPORTS AND EXPERT PANEL

European Resuscitation Council and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine guidelines 2021: post-resuscitation care

Nolan et al. ICM 2021

Background : Six Decades of TH/TTM History





Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever



Intervention (sedatives): 40h after randomization





thermia or Early Treatment of Fever Characteristic	Hypothermia (N=930)	Normothermia (N=931)
Demographic characteristics		
Age — yr	64±13	63±14
Male sex — no. (%)	742 (80)	735 (79)
Characteristics of the cardiac arrest — no. (%)		
Location at cardiac arrest		
Place of residence	487 (52)	491 (53)
Public place	338 (36)	320 (34)
Other	105 (11)	120 (13)
Bystander-witnessed cardiac arrest	850 (91)	852 (92)
Bystander-performed CPR	759 (82)	728 (78)
First monitored rhythm — no. (%)		
Shockable rhythm	671 (72)	700 (75)
Nonshockable rhythm	259 (28)	231 (25)
Median time from cardiac arrest to sustained ROSC (IQR) — min $ ho$	25 (16–40)	25 (17–40)
Median time from cardiac arrest to randomization — min (IQR)	136 (103–170)	133 (99–173)
Clinical characteristics on admission		
Tympanic temperature — °C¶	35.3±1.1	35.4±1.1
Arterial pH**	7.2±0.2	7.2±0.2
Arterial lactate level — mmol/liter††	5.9±4.4	5.8±4.2
Shock — no. (%)‡‡	261 (28)	275 (30)
ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction — no./total no. (%)	379/918 (41)	370/921 (40)



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever



Probability of survival until 180 days after randomization



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Outcome or Event	Hypothermia (N=930)	Normothermia (N=931)	Relative Risk (95% CI)*	P Value
Primary outcome: death from any cause at 6 mo — no./total no. (%)	465/925 (50)	446/925 (48)	1.04 (0.94–1.14)	0.37
Main secondary outcome — no./total no. (%)				
Score of 4–6 on modified Rankin scale at 6-mo follow-up†	488/881 (55)	479/866 (55)	1.00 (0.92–1.09)	
Poor functional outcome at 6 mo‡	495/918 (54)	493/911 (54)	1.00 (0.91–1.08)	



No differences according to pre-specified subgroups for survival & neurological outcome

GESTION DE LA TEMPERATURE APRES ARRET CARDIAQUE





Historique Pro TTM2 Pro TH Conclusions « thermiques » en 2021 Human beings are animals (normal T°=37.0±0.5°C) but... humans are different from animals !?



SUDATION

Different thermoregulation and basic temperature

POLYPNEA



Homogeneous population & controlled experiment



Heterogeneous population & diseases

Experimental data: controversial?

Species (rat, swine... human ! Gyrencéphale...) Quality of studies, parameter (neurologic, histologic, CPC) Model (CA, asphyxia, carotid occlusion) Co-morbidities (cerebral atherosclerosis...) Type & severity of insult (multifactorial, predominant factor) Degree of cooling, Duration of cooling (1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 72H...) Post-ischemic delay before TH

Olai H, et al. ICM Exp. 2020

Conclusions: TTM is beneficial under most experimental conditions in animal models of cardiac arrest or global brain ischemia. However, research on gyrencephalic species and especially comorbid animals is uncommon and a possible translational gap. Also, low study quality suggests risk of bias within studies. Future animal research should focus on mimicking the clinical scenario and employ similar rigour in trial design to that of modern clinical trials.

Pathophysiology of post-anoxic (brain) damages too complicated? individual variations? adverse effects?



TTM is only delaying injuries? TTM dose?

CCM 2009 Polderman





Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Hypothermia vs. Normothermia after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest



Hypothermia did not lead to a lower 6-mo incidence of death than normothermia.

J. Dankiewicz et al. 10.1056/NEJMoa2100591

Copyright © 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Serious adverse events - no./total no. (%)

	(· · /					
Arrhythmia resulting in hen promise	nodynamic com-	222/927	' (24)	152/921 (16)	1.45 (1.21–1.75)	<0.001
Bleeding		44/927	′ (5)	46/922 (5)	0.95 (0.63-1.42)	0.81
Skin complication related to targeted temperature m	o device used for anagement	10/927	' (1)	5/922 (<1)	1.99 (0.71–6.37)	0.21
Pneumonia		330/927	7 (36)	322/921 (35)	1.02 (0.90-1.15)	0.75
Sepsis Tntravascular dev	vice-related	99/926	5(11) sis: 1 (TH)	83/922 (9)	1.19 (0.90–1.57)	0.23
Inn avascular aev				V3. L (IVI)	Hypothermia	Normothermia
V.	liomic			Days from randomization to hospital discharge (median (IOR))	9.4 (4.0–17.0)	9.8 (5.0–17.4)
Kallemia?				- Survivors	15.4 (10.4–25.4)	14.6 (9.7–23.6)
				- Died in hospital	4.0 (2.0–7.0)	5.0 (2.0-8.0)
				Days from randomization to ICU-discharge (median (IQR))	4.9 (3.0-8.3)	4.8 (2.9-8.0)
				- Survivors	5.9 (3.9–9.6)	5.4 (3.2-8.9)
INIEISEN I	N, ET AI. I I	/V\1.		- Died in the ICU	3.8 (1.2–5.8)	3.9 (1.4-6.2)
NE	JM 2013			Days from randomization to extubation or death (n=1759) (median (IQR))	3.7 (1.9–6.0)	3.2 (1.8–5.7)
	33°C	36°C	Total	Survivors	3.8 (2.0-6.4)	2.9 (1.9–5.5)
Mechanical ventilation** Days receiving mechanical ventilation/days in ICU	473	466	939			
median [IQR]	0.83 [0.67-1.00]	0.76 [0.60-1.00]	0.80 [0.60-1.00	(P=0.006)	More hypoK+ i	f 33°C
Sedation				<u> </u>	Trend for nnew	monia
Days with sedation affecting					from for phon	moma
neurological evaluation						
median [IQR]	2 [2-3]	2 [1-3]	2 [1-3]			

Targeted Temperature Management after Cardiac Arrest:
A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis with Trial
Sequential Analysis2021

Filippo Sanfilippo ^{1,*,†}, Luigi La Via ^{1,2,†}, Bruno Lanzafame ^{1,2}, Veronica Dezio ^{1,2}, Diana Busalacchi Antonio Messina ^{3,4}, Giuseppe Ristagno ⁵, Paolo Pelosi ^{6,7} and Marinella Astuto ^{1,2}

N=8 trials (77 assessed for eligibility)



Arrhythmias

Clinical Medicine



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

PROGNOSTICATION VARIABLES

	HYPOTHERMIA	NORMOTHERMIA
PROGNOSTICATION PERFORMED	441 (47.4)	442 (47.6)
POOR PROGNOSIS LIKELY	131 (29.5)	133 (29.6)
EEG PERFORMED	484 (52.1)	445 (47.9)
CT PERFORMED	625 (67.3)	622 (67.0)
MRI PERFORMED	80 (8.6)	86 (9.3)
SSEP PERFORMED	159 (17.1)	165 (17.8)
NSE ANALYSED	510 (54.9)	508 (54.7)
HOURS TO PROGNOSTICATION	129.00 [110.00-137]	118.00 [110.00-138]

WLST: NT/TH = similar repartition during time





Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Hypothermia versus Normothermia after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest



More shivering and paralyzers

DRUG	HYPOTHERMIA	NORMOTHERMIA
ATRACURIUM	156 (17%)	120 (13%)
CISATRACURIUM	164 (18%)	100 (11%)
ROCURONIUM	286 (31%)	207 (22%)
VECURONIUM	7 (1%)	11 (1%)
OTHER NMBA	47 (5%)	36 (4%)
ANY NMBA	614 (66%)	418 (45%)

TTM2: une des études les plus robustes en post-AC avec peu de biais et une conclusion peu discutable : 33-HT = NT/pas de fièvre en suivant un protocole strict (monitoring/cooling pharmacologique + device si besoin/sedation/LAT...)

GESTION DE LA TEMPERATURE APRES ARRET CARDIAQUE





Historique Pro TTM2 Pro TH Conclusions « thermiques » en 2021



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Reasons for rewarming in the hypothermia group

53/930 patients rewarmed before H40 (6%)

	No. of participants
	(% of total rewarmed)
Hemodynamic compromise	17 (32%)
Bradycardia	12 (23%)
Ventricular Fibrillation or Ventricular Tachycardia	6 (11%)
Intracranial hemorrhage	5 (9%)
Bleeding	3 (6%)
Brain death diagnosis	2 (4%)
Cardiac surgery	2 (4%)
ECMO	2 (4%)
Compartment syndrome	1 (2%)
Tachyarrhythmia	1 (2%)
Skin complications	1 (2%)
Unclear reasons	1 (2%)

130 protocol deviations in 126 participants (63 for HT group vs. 63 for NT Early awakening (before 40 hours) occurred in 29 cases



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

100

Hypothermia versus Normothermia after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

Percentage of participants who were febrile per hour

Received cooling with a device: 95% in the TH group (882/930) 46% in the NT group (428/931)



Cooling devices: Endovascular: 30% TH ~ 31% NT Surface: 70% TH ~ 69% NT

10-20% patients with temp. ≥37.7°C within first 72h (Morrison, NEJM 2021)

TH vs. TTM Délai TT? Durée TTM? Sédation?





Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Time from CA to randomization: 135 min (ROSC-rando. ~ 110 min) Time from Randomization to $\le34^{\circ}$ C ~ 3h (TTM2) Time from Randomization to ~33°C: 5h post-randomization (figure TTM2) 50% of patients reached 33°C \ge 9 hours post CA for the first time



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 48 56 64 72 Hours after randomization

Therapeutic Hypothermia following Cardiac Arrest after the TTM2 trial – more Questions Raised than Answered

Schäfer A, Bauersachs J, Akin M. Current Problems in Cardiology. 2021



possiblement lié au prognostic

Uribarri et al. EHJ ACC. 2015



Hypothermia or Early Treatment of Fever

Sedatives: 40 hours for TTM2 protocol

	НУ	POTHERMIA	NORMOTHERMIA			
DRUG	Participants who received drug	Median cumulative dose if given (IQR)	Participant s who received drug	Median cumulative dose if given (IQR)		
NORADRENALINE	824/914 (90%)	24 mg (10-52 mg)	793/914 (85%)	22 mg (9-48 mg)		
PROPOFOL	791/914 (85%)	8768 mg (3683-13365 mg)	819/915 (88%)	7744 mg (3183-12595 mg)		
MIDAZOLAM	364/915 (39%)	117 mg (16-309 mg)	346/916 (37%)	125 mg (16-283mg)		
REMIFENTANIL	326/915 (35%)	21 mg (6-39 mg)	317/915 (34%)	22 mg (8-41mg)		
FENTANYL	495/914 (53%)	5 mg (2-8 mg)	477/916 (51%)	4mg (2-8 mg)		
DEXMEDETOMIDINE	66/915 (7%)	1 mg (0.4-2 mg)	78/916 (8%)	1 mg (0.6-2 mg)		
ACETAMINOPHEN	540/915 (58%)	4875 mg (2000-8000 mg)	661/916 (71%)	6000 mg (3000-10000 mg)		
OXYCODONE	50/915 (5%)	12 mg (6-37 mg)	63/917 (7%)	12 mg (5-27 mg)		
MORPHINE	98/915 (11%)	20 mg (10-130 mg)	124/916 (13%)	20 mg (10-75 mg)		
Length of sedation: 40h = similar						
Degree of sedation (RASS -4) = similar						
No pharmacologic adaptation according to temperature						



+ Duration of normothermia \leq 37.5°C = 72H

Targeted Temperature Management for 48 vs 24 Hours and Neurologic Outcome After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest A Randomized Clinical Trial

JAMA 2017 N=176 vs 179

Kikergaard



Therapeutic Hypothermia following Cardiac Arrest after the TTM2 trial – more

Questions Raised than Answered

Schäfer A, Bauersachs J, Akin M. Current Problems in Cardiology. 2021



TH vs. TTM Sélection: choquable-cardiaque? sévère?



	TTM-2	HACA	Bernard	TTM-1	HYPERION
Design	Multicentric	Multicentric	Single-center	Multicentric	Multicentric
N (HT group*)	1861 (930*)	275 (138*)	79 (43*)	939 (473*)	584 (284*)
Age	64 <u>+</u> 13	59 (49-67)	67 (49-89)	64 <u>+</u> 12	67 (57-76)
Male	80%	77%	58%	83%	65%
ОНСА	100%	100%	100%	100%	74%

Adapted from Taccone FS, Lascarrou JB, Skrivars MB. Crit Care 2121

	TTM-2	HACA	Bernard	TTM-1	HYPERION
Design	Multicentric	Multicentric	Single-center	Multicentric	Multicentric
N (HT group*)	1861 (930*)	275 (138*)	79 (43*)	939 (473*)	584 (284*)
Age	64 <u>+</u> 13	59 (49-67)	67 (49-89)	64 <u>+</u> 12	67 (57-76)
Male	80%	77%	58%	83%	65%
ОНСА	100%	100%	100%	100%	74%
CA Cause	presumed card.	presumed card.	unclear	presumed card.	asph.55% / card. 27%
Bystander CPR	82%*	49%	49%* vs. 71%	73%	70%
Shockable	72%*	96%	100%	79%	0%
Time to ROSC	25 (16-40)	22 (17-33*)	27 <u>+</u> 13	25 (18-40)	18 (10-25)
No Flow / Low Flow (min)	NR (yet)	5-15 / NR	10 / 15	1/	<mark><10</mark> / <60
Shock on Admission	28%	49*	Unclear	15%	56%
STEMI	41%	NR	NR	40%	16%
Lactate	5.9 <u>+</u> 4.4	NR	8.3 (2.2-14.9)	6.7 <u>+</u> 4.5	5.8 (3.2-9.0)

Adapted from Taccone FS, Lascarrou JB, Skrivars MB. Crit Care 2121

	TTM-2	HACA	Bernard	TTM-1	HYPERION
Design	Multicentric	Multicentric	Single-center	Multicentric	Multicentric
N (HT group)	1861 (930)	275 (138*)	79 (43)	939 (473)	584 (284)
Age	64 <u>+</u> 13	59 (49-67)	67 (49-89)	64 <u>+</u> 12	67 (57-76)
Male	80%	77%	58%	83%	65%
ОНСА	100%	100%	100%	100%	74%
CA Cause	presumed card.	presumed card.	unclear	presumed card.	asph.55% / card. 27%
Bystander CPR	82%*	49%	49%*/71%	73%	70%
Shockable	72%*	96%	100%	79%	0%
Time to ROSC	25 (16-40)	22 (17-33*)	27 <u>+</u> 13	25 (18-40)	18 (10-25)
No Flow / Low Flow (min)	NR (yet)	5-15 / NR	10 / 15	1/	<10 / <60
Shock on Admission	28%	49*	Unclear	15%	56%
STEMI	41%	NR	NR	40%	16%
Lactate	5.9 <u>+</u> 4.4	NR	8.3 (2.2-14.9)	6.7 <u>+</u> 4.5	5.8 (3.2-9.0)
Mortality	6 months	6 months	Hospital Discharge	6 months	3 months
Mortality, %	50	41	51	50	81
Unfavorable Outcome, % (assessment scale)	55 (mRS 4-6)	<mark>45*</mark> (CPC 3-5)	51* (CPC 3-5)	54 (CPC 3-5)	90* (CPC 3-5)
Prognostication Rule	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Generalizability / Bias	High/ <mark>Low</mark>	Low/High	Low/High	High/ <mark>Low</mark>	High/Moderate

Adapted from Taccone FS, Lascarrou JB, Skrivars MB. Crit Care 2121

Therapeutic Hypothermia following Cardiac Arrest after the TTM2 trial – more

Questions Raised than Answered

Schäfer A, Bauersachs J, Akin M. Current Problems in Cardiology. 2021



Population of TTM2 trial compared with other registries /studies

	OHCA Patient Characteristic	TTM2 Patient Characteristics	
	2018 US Pennsylvania data ¹	2020 German Resus Registry ²	2018-2020 TTM2
Gender, male, %	62%	66%	80%
Witnessed arrest	75.7%	49%	91%
Bystander CPR	39%	35.9%	82%
Presumed cardiac cause	55%	57.8%	100%
Initial shockable rhythm	26.8%	20.2%	72%
Shock	41.6%		28%
STEMI	12.4%	30.7%	41%

1. Fischer, M, et al. Öffentlicher Jahresbericht 2020 des Deutschen Reanimationsregisters: Außerklinische Reanimation 2020. www. Reanimationsregisters.de/beriche.html

2. Callaway CW, et al. Association of Initial Illness Severity and Outcomes After Cardiac Arrest With Targeted Temperature Management at 36 °C or 33 °C. JAMA Network Open. 2020;3:e208215.

Outcome Related to Level of Targeted Temperature Management in Postcardiac Arrest Syndrome of Low, Moderate, and High Severities: A Nationwide Multicenter Prospective Registry

N = 1111 OHCA with TTM in 125 ICUs 3 severity revised CAST categories (PCAS for TH: rhythm, witness, time to ROSC, pH, lactate, mGCS)

ticenter Nishikimi N, et al. Multivariate analysis showed that targeted temperature management at $33-34^{\circ}$ C was significantly associated with a good neurologic outcome and survival at 30 days in the moderate severity (odds ratio, 1.70 [95% Cl, 1.03-2.83] and 1.90 [95% Cl, 1.15-3.16], respectively), but not in the patients of low or high severity (p_{interaction} = 0.033). Propensity score analysis also showed that targeted temperature management at 33-34°C

was associated with a good neurologic outcome in the moderate-severity

Α Good neurological outcome at 30 days Proportion of good neurological (%) TTM at 35°C -36°C TTM at 33°C -34°C 100 outcome 80 = 0.002 60 40 20 0 rCAST Moderate Low High 37 58 TTM 90.91 7.53 at 35°C -36°C (40/44)(59/157)(11/146)TTM 81.94 52.48 6.50 at 33°C -34°C (118/144)(180/343)(18/277)

group (p = 0.022).



Critical Care Medicine 2021 Nishikimi N, et al.

GESTION DE LA TEMPERATURE APRES ARRET CARDIAQUE





Historique Pro TTM2 Con TTM2 Conclusions « thermiques » en 2021

Targeted temperature management in adult cardiac arrest: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Asger Granfeldt^{*a*,1}, Mathias J. Holmberg^{*b*,*c*,1}, Jerry P. Nolan^{*d*,*e*}, Jasmeet Soar^{*f*}, Lars W. Andersen^{*a*,*b*,*g*,*}, for the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Advanced Life Support Task Force²



Resuscitation 2021

N=32 trials (138 assessed for eligibility, 39 articles) 32-34 TTM vs. NT: 9 trials, 6 included in meta-analysis

analyses. Targeted temperature management with a target of 32–34 °C did not result in an improvement in survival (risk ratio: 1.08 [95%CI: 0.89, 1.30]) or favorable neurologic outcome (risk ratio: 1.21 [95%CI: 0.91, 1.61]) at 90 to 180 days after the cardiac arrest (low certainty of evidence). Three trials assessed different hypothermic temperature targets and found no difference in outcomes (low certainty of evidence). Ten trials were identified comparing prehospital cooling vs. no prehospital cooling with no improvement in survival (risk ratio: 1.01 [95%CI: 0.92, 1.11]) or favorable neurologic outcome (risk ratio: 1.00 [95%CI: 0.90, 1.11]) at hospital discharge (moderate certainty of evidence).

TTM at 32-34°C **Risk Ratio** Normothermia **Risk Ratio** Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl Year Study or Subgroup Events Total Events M-H, Random, 95% CI -HACA, 2002 75 136 30.7% 1.40 [1.08, 1.81] 2002 54 137 Laurent, 2005 7 22 9 20 10.0% 0.71 [0.32, 1.54] 2005 Hachimi-Idrissi, 2005 6 14 3 14 5.1% 2.00 [0.62, 6.45] 2005 Lascarrou, 2019 29 284 17 297 15.2% 1.78 [1.00, 3.17] 2019 423 918 418 39.0% 1.00 [0.91, 1.11] 2021 Dankiewicz, 2021 911 1374 Total (95% CI) 1379 100.0% 1.21 [0.91, 1.61] Total events 540 501 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chl² = 10.97, df = 4 (P = 0.03); l² = 64% ĥ 1 10 0.2 0.5 Test for overall effect Z = 1.34 (P = 0.18) Favours normothermia Favours TTM at 32-34°C

Favorable neurologic outcome at 90 or 180 days

Similar for survival

Conclusions: Among adult patients with cardiac arrest, the use of targeted temperature management at 32–34 °C, when compared to normothermia, did not result in improved outcomes in this meta-analysis. There was no effect of initiating targeted temperature management prior to hospital arrival. These findings warrant an update of international cardiac arrest guidelines.

Meta-analyses of targeted temperature management in adult cardiac arrest studies – The big picture is dependent on study selection Behringer W, Abella B, Sunde K. Resuscitation 2021



Letter to the Editor

100

10

10

Favours control Favours cooling

100

Favours control Favours cooling

cooling to 33°C Control **Risk Ratio Risk Ratio** Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl M-H, Random, 95% CI Study or Subgroup Events 1.2.1 Cooling versus all else Mori 2000 36 2 5.6% 4.50 [1.17, 17.30] 18 18 Hachimi-Idrissi 2001 8 16 2 17 5.3% 4.25 [1.06, 17.08] Lascarrou 2019 29 284 17 297 16.9% 1.78 [1.00, 3.17] Dankiewicz 2021 423 918 418 911 30.2% 1.00 [0.91, 1.11] HACA 2002 75 136 54 137 26.6% 1.40 [1.08, 1.81] Bernard 2002 21 43 9 34 15.3% 1.84 [0.97, 3.49] Subtotal (95% CI) 1433 1414 100.0% 1.56 [1.10, 2.21] Total events 574 502 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.10; Chi² = 19.99, df = 5 (P = 0.001); l² = 75% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.47 (P = 0.01)

0.01

0.1

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

cooling to 33°C **Risk Ratio Risk Ratio** Control Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI M-H, Random, 95% CI 1.3.1 Cooling versus all else Mori 2000 36 2 18 2.4% 4.50 [1.17, 17.30] 18 Hachimi-Idrissi 2001 8 16 2 17 2.3% 4.25 [1.06, 17.08] Lascarrou 2019 29 284 17 297 9.7% 1.78 [1.00, 3.17] Dankiewicz 2021 423 918 418 911 28.6% 1.00 [0.91, 1.11] Nielsen 2013 218 469 222 464 27.2% 0.97 [0.85, 1.11] HACA 2002 75 136 54 137 21.4% 1.40 [1.08, 1.81] Bernard 2002 21 43 9 34 8.4% 1.84 [0.97, 3.49] Subtotal (95% CI) 1902 1878 100.0% 1.27 [1.02, 1.58] 724 Total events 792 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 21.76, df = 6 (P = 0.001); l² = 72% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.18 (P = 0.03)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

All available RCTs with outcome evaluation at 6 months after CA (random effects analyses of 32-34TTM compared to NT for good neurologic outcome; if Mori excluded, close results: OR 1.43 (CI 1.01-2.02), P=0.04; RR 1.21 (0.99-1.48, P=0.06)

0.01

0.1

ILCOR GUIDELINES - PROPOSAL 2021

Soar J, Nolan JP, Andersen LW, Böttinger BW, Couper K, Deakin CD, Drennan I, Hirsch KG, Nicholson TC, O'Neil BJ, Paiva EF, Parr MJ, Reynolds JC, Sandroni C, Wang TL, Callaway CW, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Lavonas EJ, Morrisson LJ, Nation K, Neumar RW, Nikolaou, Skrifvars MB, Welsford M, Morley PT, Berg KM.

Defining Post-Cardiac Arrest Temperature Management Strategies

- The term TTM on its own is not helpful and it is preferable to use the terms active temperature control, hypothermia, normothermia, or fever prevention. To provide additional clarity for interpreting future clinical trials, systematic reviews and CoSTRs we propose the following terms are used:
 - Hypothermic TTM (H-TTM) = active temperature control with the target temperature below the normal range.
 - Normothermic TTM = active temperature control with the target temperature in the normal range.
 - Fever prevention TTM (FP-TTM) = monitoring temperature and actively preventing and treating temperature above the normal range
 - No TTM = no protocolised active temperature control strategy.

Recommendation

We suggest actively preventing fever by targeting a temperature \leq 37.5 for those patients who remain comatose after ROSC from cardiac arrest (weak recommendation, low certainty evidence).

Whether subpopulations of cardiac arrest patients may benefit from targeting hypothermia at 32-34°C remains uncertain.

Comatose patients with mild hypothermia after ROSC should not be actively warmed to achieve normothermia (good practice statement).

Targeted Temperature Management after Cardiac Arrest:
A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis with Trial
Sequential Analysis2021

Clinical Medicine

Filippo Sanfilippo ^{1,*,†}, Luigi La Via ^{1,2,†}, Bruno Lanzafame ^{1,2}, Veronica Dezio ^{1,2}, Diana Busalacchi Antonio Messina ^{3,4}, Giuseppe Ristagno ⁵, Paolo Pelosi ^{6,7} and Marinella Astuto ^{1,2}

N=8 trials (77 assessed for eligibility)

TTM 32-3			34°C TTM 36°C or SoC			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.2.1 Actively controlled normothern	nia						
Dankiewicz, New Engl J Med 2021	393	881	387	866	29.8%	1.00 [0.90, 1.11]	•
Lascarrou, New Engl J Med 2019	29	284	17	297	7.9%	1.78 [1.00, 3.17]	
Nielsen, New Engl J Med 2013 Subtotal (95% CI)	218	469 1634	222	464 1627	28.0% 65.7%	0.97 [0.85, 1.11] 1.02 [0.88, 1.18]	1
Total events	640		626				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² = 4.1 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.27 (P = 0.1	0, df = 2 (P 79)	= 0.13);	I ² = 51%				
1.2.2 Passively controlled normothe	rmia						
Bernard, New Engl J Med 2002	21	43	9	34	6.7%	1.84 [0.97, 3.49]	
Hachimi-idrissi, Resuscitation 2001	2	16	0	14	0.4%	4.41 [0.23, 84.79]	
Hachimi-idrissi, Resuscitation 2005	8	30	3	31	2.1%	2.76 [0.81, 9.41]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Holzer, New Engl J Med 2002	75	136	54	137	20.2%	1.40 [1.08, 1.81]	
Laurent, JACC 2005	7	22	9	20	4.8%	0.71 [0.32, 1.54]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		247		236	34.3%	1.42 [0.99, 2.04]	◆
Total events	113		75				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.05; Chi ² = 5.4 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.93 (P = 0.1	6, df = 4 (P 05)	= 0.24);	I ² = 27%				
Total (95% CI)		1881		1863	100.0%	1.17 [0.97, 1.41]	•
Total events	753		701				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Chi ² = 17.	55, df = 7 (F	P = 0.01); I² = 60%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.66 (P = 0.	10)		9.777				U.UZ U.1 1 1U 5U Higher in Centrale Higher TTM 22-24*C
Test for subgroup differences: Chi#=	2.87, df = 1	(P = 0.0))9), I ² = 65.19	%			Figher in controls Figher (18/32-34 C
Similar for survival							Neurologic outcome

OPTIMIZING TTM/TH PROTOCOL METHOD? Advanced (no basic)



CONTROLE CIBLE DE LA TEMPERATURE EN REANIMATION (HORS NOUVEAU-NES)

Recommandations Formalisées d'Experts commune SRLF- SFAR

En collaboration avec les Sociétés ANARLF, GFRUP, SFMU et SFNV Association de Neuro Anesthésie Réanimation de Langue Française, Groupe Francophone de Réanimation et Urgences Pédiatriques, Société Française de Médecine d'Urgence, Société Française de Neuro-Vasculaire

Modalités de mise en œuvre et surveillance du CCT (question 6)

R6.1 - Chez les patients traités par CCT, il faut utiliser des méthodes asservies à la température corporelle par comparaison aux méthodes non asservies dans le but <u>d'améliorer la qualité du CCT</u>.

(Grade 1+) Accord FORT

Alain Cariou, JF Payen et al. AIC 2017

ILCOR GUIDELINES - PROPOSAL 2021

Soar J, Nolan JP, Andersen LW, Böttinger BW, Couper K, Deakin CD, Drennan I, Hirsch KG, Nicholson TC, O'Neil BJ, Paiva EF, Parr MJ, Reynolds JC, Sandroni C, Wang TL, Callaway CW, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Lavonas EJ, Morrisson LJ, Nation K, Neumar RW, Nikolaou, Skrifvars MB, Welsford M, Morley PT, Berg KM.

Should endovascular cooling vs. surface cooling be used for cardiac arrest?

Recommendation

We suggest surface or endovascular temperature control techniques when temperature control is used in comatose patients after ROSC (weak recommendation, low certainty of evidence).

When a cooling device is used, we suggest using a temperature control device that includes a feedback system based on continuous

temperature monitoring to maintain the target temperature (good practice statement).

We recommend against the routine use of prehospital cooling with rapid infusion of large volumes of cold IV fluid immediately after ROSC (strong recommendation, moderate certainty evidence)

Justification

Cooling devices

· Task Force members agreed that based on our SR either surface or endovascular cooling should be suggested.

• There is no consensus on whether a feedback surface cooling device should be routinely used so this was added as a good practice statement as there is no evidence this approach improves outcomes. There was consensus that temperature should be continually monitored by the cooling device in order to maintain a stable temperature.

There was a comment that endovascular cooling is superior – there are two recent SRs with conflicting conclusions: Bartlett ES (Resuscitation 2020 82) showed intravascular cooling is associated with improved neurological outcome, and Kim JG (Resuscitation 2020 14) found no associated with survival or neurological outcomes.

Effect of different methods of cooling for targeted temperature management on outcome after cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Lorenzo Calabró^{1†}, Wulfran Bougouin^{2,3,4†}, Alain Cariou^{3,4,5}, Chiara De Fazio¹, Markus Skrifvars⁶, Eldar Soreide⁷, Jacques Creteur¹, Hans Kirkegaard⁸, Stéphane Legriel⁹, Jean-Baptiste Lascarrou¹⁰, Bruno Megarbane¹¹, Nicolas Deye^{11†} and Fabio Silvio Taccone^{14†}



Critical Care 2019

22 studies among 46 elligible (out of 6686 screened)



Unfavorable outcome (Sign. for mortality in non-RCTs)

Conclusions: Although existing literature is mostly based on retrospective or prospective studies, specific TTM methods (i.e., core, invasive, and with TFD) were associated with a lower probability of poor neurological outcome when compared to other methods in adult CA survivors (CRD42019111021).

TH vs. TTM PROTOCOL, MONITORING, ACSOS



CONTROLE CIBLE DE LA TEMPERATURE EN REANIMATION (HORS NOUVEAU-NES)

Recommandations Formalisées d'Experts





Toutes les études publiées sur TH/TTM utilisent un monitorage continu (central)

Modalités de mise en œuvre et surveillance du CCT (question 6)

R6.3 - Chez les patients traités par CCT, il faut probablement privilégier des sites de mesure de <u>température centrale</u>.

(Grade 2+) Accord FORT

Niven et al. Ann Med Int 2016 Alain Cariou, JF Payen et al. 2016

TTT DES AGRESSIONS CÉRÉBRALES SECONDAIRES D'ORIGINE SYSTÉMIQUE

Post-CA care (from 2000 to 2020): Meilleure prise en charge en réanimation = moins d'effet de la température sur le pronostic?



Treatments of potential secondary insults (reperfusion...): short acting sedatives & opioids, target blood glucose, shivering, seizures, antibiotics if needed

ERC & ESICM guidelines: post-CA care. Nolan et al. ICM 2021

Practical protocol for treatment of patients

Sedatives (propofol) Analgesics (remifentanil...) Neuromuscular blockers (if necessary)

Normocapnia (4.9-5.5 kPa = 37-42 mmHg)



Hemodynamic optimization (and cerebral perfusion pressure), euvolemia (SAP > 90 mmHg, MAP ≥ 70 mmHg, diuresis ≥ 1ml/kg/h)

No fever (temp. <37.5° C) / High-quality TTM

Normoxia in controlled MV (PaO_2 : 60-200mmHg)

Normo-natremia, -kaliemia, -magnesemia, -phosphoremia, -calcemia Normoglycemia (insuline protocol to treat hyperglycemia > 1.80 g/L (>10 mmol/L), hypoglycemia avoided, target within 12H after CA : 1.16-1.43 g/L) Semi-recumbent position in bed (30-45°) Prophylactic treatments: heparin, anti-arrhythmics

STANDARDIZATION OF WLST



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Translating Targeted Temperature Management Trials into Postarrest Care

Laurie J. Morrison, M.D., and Brent Thoma, M.D.

clinicians should be that targeted temperature management involving pharmacotherapy, device cooling, and timely neurologic prognostication is a crucial treatment strategy to improve outcomes in patients who have had a cardiac arrest. The target temperature, at the discretion of the clinician, could be 33°C, 36°C, or 37.5°C or less.

Other subgroups (excluded from trials) TTM2 substudies waited:

comparaison des groupes NT + fièvre vs. NT sans fièvre +++



ILCOR GUIDELINES - PROPOSAL 2021

Soar J, Nolan JP, Andersen LW, Böttinger BW, Couper K, Deakin CD, Drennan I, Hirsch KG, Nicholson TC, O'Neil BJ, Paiva EF, Parr MJ, Reynolds JC, Sandroni C, Wang TL, Callaway CW, Donnino MW, Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Lavonas EJ, Morrisson LJ, Nation K, Neumar RW, Nikolaou, Skrifvars MB, Welsford M, Morley PT, Berg KM.

Research priorities

- There are no RCTs of no TTM versus fever prevention TTM.
- There are few RCTs of TTM after eCPR.
- There are no large RCTs of TTM after in-hospital cardiac arrest.
- Is there a therapeutic window within which hypothermic TTM (H-TTM) is effective in the clinical setting?
- If a therapeutic window exists, are there clinically feasible cooling strategies that can rapidly achieve therapeutic target temperatures within the therapeutic window?
- Is the clinical effectiveness of hypothermia dependent on providing the appropriate dose (target temperature and duration) based on the severity of brain injury?
- Are there unidentified subsets of post-cardiac arrest patient who would benefit from H-TTM as currently practiced?
- Is TTM using a cooling device with feedback more effective than TTM without a feedback controlled cooling device?

TTM2 SUBGROUP ANALYSES & ANCILLARY STUDIES MANDATORY WHO ARE THESE FEBRILE PATIENTS = ??? PROGNOSIS ? ROLE OF FEVER ? CAUSES OF FEVER ?